

Series II, Data Cluster No. 1: The ISece papers. Even with the tanktrucks of ink spent describing the Domestic Operations Division of the CIA, and Operation Chaos, all published accounts, including The Rockefeller Commission Report, remind me a bit of those modern paintings where the canvas is left substantially bare and unpainted. That is, what are the specifics of Operation Chaos? What are the names and actual activities of the covert CIA agents or officers in the American war protest movement?

The truth regarding the CIA's role in domestic strife and protest may well be more grim than we realize if the allegations are accurate in certain papers we have lately encountered which detail an alleged section of the CIA, operating domestically, called the "ISece" section. The letters *IS* denote Internal Security. I do not know what "ece" is supposed to mean. According to the ISece papers we have seen, the ISece section of the CIA, virtually throughout the entire history of the CIA, has been engaged in the United States in everything from defaming and defeating political candidates the CIA did not like, to creating dissension within a certain aircraft company to get people fired, to sabotaging a certain form of city government the CIA did not like, to preparing reports on the beliefs of school and public officials.


The documents I have seen are alleged to have been written by a person with access to a Central Intelligence Agency records system called "Control Records Dispatch," located in Davenport, Iowa. The material purports to give a history of the activities of a certain CIA ISece agent active in the Pacific Northwest.

Several investigators seem to be afraid of this alleged CIA ISece agent. He is highly visible in the state of Washington, where he seems often to adopt the modus operandi of a r.w.n. (right wing nut). He is also alleged, by assassination investigator Richard Sprague in the October 1975 issue of *People and the Pursuit of Truth*, to have been a member of the team that assassinated President John F. Kennedy.

We intend to continue to examine these documents and their implications, and will try to report the re-


sults of our inquiries in further WIN Dom-Int columns. Anyone with data pertinent to these matters (except current ISece agents, please) please contact the Dom-Int Data Squad, c/o WIN Magazine.

And one more aspect we'd thought we'd mention: we hope we do not detect any deviation from the true posture of patriotism on the part of the alleged CIA ISece agent. It is to be noted, however, that the report indicates that he "was in Saigon, Indochina in December and January of 1945-46, and his reports from there were marked 'excellent.' There are no copies of these reports in Central Dispatch as they were removed in 1967 on 'draw and study order' by Col. M. Deveraux of the ISAF." (ISAF may be a typo for USAF.) Further, the report states that the agent, involved with a radio station in the state of Washington in late summer of 1969, was then ordered to report to Vietnam by November, 1969. The report notes that in the files was "a late request," apparently on the part of the alleged ISece agent, "asking cancellation of the Vietnam order." The report ends as of September 8, 1969, so maybe we'll never know if the agent was able to avoid, along with hundreds of thousands of fellow Americans, service in Nam.



Data Cluster No. 2: Regarding Sirhan Sirhan. Much of the research involving Sirhan Sirhan deals with logistics in the kitchen and pantry of the Ambassador Hotel in Los Angeles just prior to, and during, and right after, the assassination of Robert Kennedy. Was there a second gun? Was Sirhan robotically triggered off by the whispered code-babble of a blonde-wigged woman in a polkadot dress? Was someone hiding in and firing from the large ice machine inches away from the falling NY Senator? Or was another person firing silently next to Kennedy in the gloomy pantry filled with the high-decibel exultation of victory? It is

"WIN" 2-18-75



a column on
domestic intelligence



Harold - Floyd Murray sent me this. He says the man in ISece papers is Crisman.

HR

an extremely valid area of inquiry, but there is an additional possible gold mine of data that investigative attorneys Allard Lowenstein and Vince Bugliosi, who are deeply involved in the case, might consider. And that is to debrief Sirhan Sirhan with, say, 30 or 40 hours of voluntary interrogation under hypnosis.

There is some indication that Sirhan himself is willing, with people he trusts, to undergo such hypnotic debriefing. The State of California has apparently temporarily shaken off the forces of overt oppression, and the administration of Gov. Brown conceivably would support such a move under proper, scientific circumstances. A casual look into published works on hypnotism, such as *Hypnotism* by G.H. Estabrooks (E.P. Dutton paperback), reveals that under hypnosis, in the words of Estabrooks (p. 149), "the hypnotic subject has a memory which is often startlingly good for past events." I am, of course, speaking of learning from Sirhan the most meticulously minute details about his activities during every day from Jan. 1 through June 5, 1968.

It is my understanding that under careful hypnosis, the mind can become like a video camera, and the hypnotised person can describe just about anything he or she experienced. Sirhan could recall license plates he saw during those months, phone numbers he called, every single person he met, every meeting he attended. And if there are memory blocks regarding certain days or events, that is important also; the date and precise circumstances of any memory lapses could be determined, and these could be isolated for investigation as possible periods when he may have been programmed for murder and instructed to forget the circumstances.

Dr. Eduard Simson was the Senior Psychologist on the staff at San Quentin prison in the summer of 1969 when Sirhan first arrived on Death Row. Dr. Simson was able to administer various tests and to talk with Sirhan for about 20 weeks, totalling some 35 hours, before he was banned from seeing Sirhan by the Assistant Warden. Dr. Simson resigned his position at San Quentin as a result, and was unable, as he had planned, to interrogate Sirhan under hypnosis. Sirhan apparently was willing to cooperate.

2-18-75

BY Ed Sanders

Dr. Simson states that Sirhan, in their conversations in the summer of 1969, could recall nothing about the actual shooting. The last thing Sirhan remembered was giving a cup of coffee to a woman in a polkadot dress. Dr. Simson, a Fellow in the American Society for Clinical Hypnosis, feels that the key to the RFK tragedy lies stored in Sirhan's blocked but unforgetting mind. Perhaps Gov. Brown will set up a Special Commission of hypno-interrogation, with Dr. Simson as a member, to undertake this necessary project.

Data Cluster No. 3: Brain-washing and assassinations have become s.r.o. on college campuses. The Zapruder film, talks on behavior modification, attacks on the Warren Commission, Cowboy killers vs. Eastern Establishment killers—all are topics at conferences around the country that bear the fervor and excitement of the Vietnam teach-ins. There is, however, a certain phenomenon occurring in the network of snuff-sleuths, which is becoming more and more an item of conversation. That is, who are the agents? Here is what I mean:

A is a famous assassination investigator, who is considered by quite a few other assassination investigators to be a government agent, perhaps even CIA. B is a district attorney's investigator about whom a few assassination investigators have suspicions that he is a CIA agent planted in the district attorney's office, perhaps to keep an eye on the RFK case. B, for his part, supposedly thinks that A is an agent for Jimmy Hoffa, rather than for the CIA. C is a very well-regarded investigator whose partner, D, thinks E, an active assassination investigator who is a successful writer, is an agent of the government. C is now suspicious of A, in that he apparently feels that A does not move forcefully enough with top-level assassination data, and therefore may be part of an assassination cover-up.

F is suspect in many quarters of being CIA, but on the other hand has good liberal credentials and has taken risks in his career to support his anti-war beliefs. G, a lawyer and very famous assassination expert, openly accuses F of being a CIA agent. E, himself accused of being a government agent, nevertheless is also suspicious of F, but thinks G is on an ego trip. G also thinks that a journalist who continues to write highly-placed articles on the JFK assassination is a government agent. H, a former high-level cop, and author of a recent book, is under suspicion of hanky-panky for publishing a possible false lead as to who actually killed JFK. A, mentioned above, apparently located the actual name of the above book's killer, who nevertheless was given a pseudonym in the tome.

I can see them sitting in the bar right now, the two data-weary assassination sleuths at the end of a long fear-suffused day. One leans over to the other and says, "Everyone's an agent but me and thee; but isn't not also true that thou wert once an army intelligence agent?"

